



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/750,978	50,978 12/27/2000		Viktors Berstis	AUS919990423US1	7760	
35525	7590	06/13/2005		EXAMINER		
IBM CORE		ATES PC	HAMILTON, LALITA M			
P.O. BOX 8			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
DALLAS, 7	TX 75380	0	3624			
				DATE MAILED: 06/13/200	DATE MAILED: 06/13/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)					
	Office Addison O	09/750,97	8	BERSTIS, VIKTORS					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Lalita M. H		3624					
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communic Reply	cation appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress				
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR IAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS FOR THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS FOR THIS	CATION.  of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evenuinication.  of days, a reply within the statuutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the apply.	ent, however, may a reply be tim story minimum of thirty (30) days Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this or (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1) 🖂 🛭 F	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on 02 June 2005.							
·	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
·	,—								
(	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositio	on of Claims								
5)□ ( 6)⊠ ( 7)□ (	Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-16,18-26 and 28-30</u> is a) Of the above claim(s) is/ar Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-16,18-26 and 28-30</u> is Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	e withdrawn from cor /are rejected.	nsideration.						
Application	on Papers								
10)□ T	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are:  Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or b) tion to the drawing(s) b the correction is require	e held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF	• •				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachment(	•		·						
	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	ГО-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) 🔲 Inform	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or R No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		D-152)				
S. Patent and Tra PTOL-326 (Re		Office Action Summa	ry Pa	rt of Paper No./Mail D	ate 06072005				

Application/Control Number: 09/750,978

Art Unit: 3624

## DETAILED ACTION

#### Summary

On November 30, 2004, an Office Action was mailed to the Applicant rejecting claims 1-30. On March 18, 2005 and June 2, 2005, the Applicant responded by amending claims 1-3, 11-13, and 21-23 and canceling claims 7, 17, and 27.

#### **Drawings**

The objection has been withdrawn.

#### Specification

The objection has been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The rejection has been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

The rejection has been withdrawn.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-2, 9, 11-12, 19, 21-22, and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Siegel (2002/0077923).

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/750,978 Page 3

Art Unit: 3624

Siegel discloses a method and corresponding system and computer program product for performing online product registration comprising matching a buyer and a seller of goods, receiving, at an online site, registration information from a registering user, wherein the registration information includes universal product code for an item, and responsive to identifying a matched user, notifying the user of the match, wherein the universal product code of the item in the matched user exactly matches the universal product code of the item identified by the registering user (p.1, 5-11 and p.3, 30-35); identifying a matched user comprises searching a database for a transactional match, wherein the transactional match contains complementary offer terms to offer terms of the registering user (p.1, 5-11 and p.3, 30-35); and registration information includes a description of the condition of the item (p.1, 5-11 and p.3, 30-35—brand new).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

Art Unit: 3624

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 3-4, 10, 13-14, 20, 23-24, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Siegel in view of Dutta (US 2002/0073008). The rejection was set forth in the previous Office Action.

Claims 5-6, 8, 15-16, 18, 25-26, and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Siegel in view of Okumura (US 2002/0032633). The rejection was set forth in the previous Office Action.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6, 8-16, 18-26, and 28-30 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lalita M. Hamilton whose telephone number is (571) 272-6743. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Thursday (8:30-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on (571) 272-6747. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/750,978

Art Unit: 3624

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 5

**LMH**